The deglacial rise in atmospheric CO$_2$: A (not so) simple balance equation
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Climate models are not able to simulate the full extent of atmospheric CO$_2$ rise during the deglaciation. Involved processes are complex and non-linear; improvement could be achieved with better representations of transient ocean circulation changes and more complex parametrizations of ecosystems.

Our planet experienced dramatic changes over the past 20,000 years (kyr), transitioning from full glacial conditions to the current interglacial, the Holocene. During this deglaciation, large Northern Hemispheric ice sheets disintegrated, leading to a global sea-level rise of ~134 m (Lambeck et al. 2014). Changes in biogeochemical cycles on land and in the ocean caused a net release of greenhouse gases into the atmosphere, resulting in a rise of ~90 parts per million (ppm) in CO$_2$, ~300 parts per billion (pppb) in CH$_4$, and ~60 ppb in N$_2$O (Köhler et al. 2017). Marine and terrestrial ecosystems reorganized spatially to adapt to the temperature and precipitation changes that resulted from all of these processes.

These changes did not occur in a continuous or predictable way. The last glacial termination was, on the contrary, quite complex; it was characterized by millennial-scale variability and interhemispheric asynchronies. Like an old flickering fluorescent bulb when switched on, different regions warmed, and cooled again, at different times during the transition. As can be seen in Figure 1, the rise in greenhouse gas concentrations also showed a stepwise behavior, with periods of rapid changes, including CO$_2$ rises of ~13 ppm within 100-200 years (Marcott et al. 2014), and other periods of stagnation or even reversal.

A rather simple math question

While part of the glacial terrestrial carbon on exposed continental shelves was lost due to flooding (182-266 petagram carbon (PgC); Montenegro et al. 2006), CO$_2$ fertilization, generally warmer and wetter conditions, and the newly available land under retrieving ice sheets were conducive to an overall increase in terrestrial carbon during the deglaciation (~300 to 850 PgC; Ciais et al. 2013). Melting ice sheets added freshwater to the ocean, decreasing salinity, which would have partly counteracted the temperature solubility effect (~6 ppm; Kohfeld and Ridgwell 2009). This process also decreases ocean alkalinity and DIC concentrations. Such a decrease in alkalinity decreases the solubility of CO$_2$; however, decreasing alkalinity and DIC at a 1:1 ratio leads to an increase in acidity (~7 ppm; Kohfeld and Ridgwell 2009). Changes in pressure due to rising sea levels and a decrease in deep-ocean alkalinity would have led to changes in the accumulation/dissolution rates of calcium carbonate in marine sediments, which is a negative feedback, and has a tendency to restore carbonate ion concentrations. Furthermore, the effect of weathering on land would have led to a small drawdown of atmospheric CO$_2$, mitigated by changes in the carbonate compensation depth.

Biological processes

Some of the surface's dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) is removed by photosynthesis and transformed into organic carbon. A small percentage of this organic carbon is exported into deeper layers and remineralized into DIC. The strength of this "soft tissue pump" depends on the net primary productivity, which is a function of temperature, nutrient and light availability, competition between species, and the Redfield ratios of the species in question. Nutrient availability depends on ocean circulation and on external fluxes of micronutrients, such as aeolian iron or silica fertilization from dust. For example, the net effect of decreasing dust deposition during the deglaciation has been estimated to have contributed ~19 ppm to the CO$_2$ rise (Lambert et al. 2015). The strength of the "soft tissue pump" also depends on the remineralization depth of organic carbon. This is a function of the particles' sinking speed and the remineralization rates in the deep ocean. A shoaling of the remineralization depth could have led to a +20 to +30 ppm increase in CO$_2$ (Kwon et al. 2009; Matsumoto 2007; Menviel et al. 2012), but large uncertainties remain.

Calcifying organisms form CaCO$_3$ shells or skeletons in addition to their soft tissue. The calcification process removes alkalinity from surface waters and increases alkalinity at depth upon dissolution. A decrease in surface alkalinity reduces the ability of surface waters to dissolve carbon; this pump has therefore been termed the "carbonate counter pump". Any changes in the competition between calcifying and non-calcifying organisms during the deglaciation, for example due to changes in silicic acid or iron availability, would have changed the strength of the combined biological pump (Matsumoto 2014).
et al. 2002). In addition, a protective calcite shell changes the sinking speed of particles and thus the remineralization depth. The flooding of continental shelves increased the habitat of calcifying coral reefs, decreasing alkalinity and contributing to the Holocene atmospheric CO₂ increase (~+12 ppm; Kohfeld and Ridgwell 2009).

Tying it all together: Ocean circulation
Ocean circulation connects all the processes mentioned above in a complex way. It transports nutrients to the surface for biological carbon fixation. It also determines the residence time of deep water masses and therefore the total accumulation of remineralized carbon in the deep ocean. While much emphasis has been put on understanding North Atlantic circulation changes (e.g. Ritz et al. 2013; Huiskamp and Meissner 2012), it has recently become clear that the Southern Ocean, where most of surface/deep-water exchange takes place, is likely a more important player. Changes in Southern Ocean circulation can be prompted by changes in winds, sea-ice cover, and meridional density gradients, all of which took place during the deglaciation (Menviel et al. 2018). Finally, the Pacific Ocean is not only the largest ocean basin, but it is also characterized by very sluggish circulation and therefore a much higher DIC content than any other ocean basin. Any small increase in ventilation in this basin has the potential to dramatically increase atmospheric CO₂ concentrations (Menviel et al. 2014; Rae et al. 2014).

What are the models missing?
Although there has been considerable progress in carbon cycle modeling over the past 20 years, we still do not understand, nor are able to accurately simulate, all of the observed changes during the last deglaciation. The main culprit is likely an insufficient representation and understanding of changes in ocean circulation. As discussed above, deep water masses are supersaturated in old carbon, holding 100 times more carbon than needed to explain the glacial-interglacial changes. Small circulation changes can result in considerable follow-on effects on ocean-air carbon fluxes.

So far, only models of intermediate complexity have been able to study the sequence of events leading to changes in glacial-interglacial atmospheric CO₂. The spatial grids of these models are coarse and do not resolve small-scale processes in the ocean that are potentially important. While they capture the main changes in water masses well enough, they are overall too diffusive. Models that are better skilled in representing physical circulation changes, such as eddy-resolving models, cannot be integrated long enough to even get today’s deep ocean circulation into equilibrium, let alone today’s marine carbon cycle. Simulating a full transient deglaciation with such models is still far beyond the horizon with today’s available computer power. However, these models can be used to test single processes under idealized and fixed boundary conditions.

Another culprit is most certainly the representation of ecosystems in our climate models. These model components are still in their infancy. They are highly simplified, representing the whole complexity of marine life with a few functional types for plankton based on simple equations for population dynamics. Carbon uptake, the sinking speed of particles, and remineralization rates are underconstrained and therefore overtuned (Duteil et al. 2012). It is questionable whether these models’ ecosystem sensitivities can be trusted under boundary conditions that are significantly different from present-day conditions.

Finally, none of our state-of-the-art climate models include the whole complexity of sediment feedbacks, which played a non-negligible role during the deglaciation.

How do we solve this?
The proxy community is providing an increasingly coherent picture of deglacial changes. For example, recent high-resolution atmospheric CO₂ and isotope records from Antarctic ice cores have highlighted the millennial variability and timing of the deglacial atmospheric CO₂ increase and potential source reservoirs (Fig. 1). At the same time, the modeling community is simulating the deglaciation, or parts of it, with models of increasing complexity and higher resolution. The ultimate goal in the not-so-distant future is a transient deglacial modeling framework based on high-resolution models including high-complexity ecosystem models and sediment feedbacks to refine the sequence of the events and processes involved in the deglacial atmospheric CO₂ increase.